Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/551,733	MATSUNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Justin P. Misleh	2622
Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Justin P. Misleh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Bruno Polito</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 February 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI The Examiner and Mr. Polito discussed amendments that woul Mr. Politio, with approval from Applicant, arrived at a claim set	d place the claims in condition for a	allowance. The Examiner and
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Justin P Misleh/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)